

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,213	09/11/2003	Darren T. Sapashe	CM06328J	6551	
24273 MOTOROLA.	24273 7590 07/26/2007 MOTOROLA, INC			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			FAULK, DEVONA E		
	LAW DEPT 8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD FT LAUDERDAL, FL 33322		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FT LAUDERD			2615		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/660,213	SAPASHE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Devona E. Faulk	2615
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Ti	' <u>April 2007</u> . his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/11/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/660,213 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. The applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection set forth in the previous office action.
- 2. Claim 4 is cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 recites "and engaging the switch". The placement of this language is confusing. Is the manual volume control engaging the switch or is the intelligent AVC? Clarity is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2615

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helms (US 5,666,426) in view of Ross (US 5,291,558).

Regarding claim 1, Helms discloses a method for controlling volume in a communication device, comprising:

Detecting a change in manual volume setting (Figure 2, at step 50);

Measuring current background audio level (Figure 2 at step 32);

Determining a relationship between the current background audio level and the volume setting (Figure 2; column 3, lines 19-64);

Establishing the relationship as a desired volume level to be maintained (Figure 2; column 3, lines 19-64);

Sensing a subsequent change in the manual volume setting (Figure 2 at step 50);

Monitoring subsequent background audio level by engaging a microphone of the communication device in response to the subsequent change in the manual volume setting (column 3, lines 19-64; column 4, lines 1-28 and lines 43-55);

Comparing the current background level to the subsequent background level;(Figure 2 at step 44; column 4, lines 1-35)

Determining whether a change in background level occurred (Figure 2 at step 46; column 4, lines 30-33); and

Automatically adjusting volume of a speaker based on the relationship (column 4, lines 1-58; Figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,213

Art Unit: 2615

Helms discloses a communication device, for example a car stereo. Helms fails to disclose a two-way communication device. The examiner takes official notice that two-way radios are known in the art and that volume control is used in various devices. It would have been obvious to modify Helms so that the communication device is a two-way radio for the benefit of providing automatic volume control as taught by Helms to two-way radios.

Helms as modified discloses engaging a microphone of the communication device in response to the subsequent change in the manual volume setting.

Helms fails to disclose switchably engaging a microphone. Switchably engaging a microphone is known in the art as taught by Ross. Ross discloses switchably engaging a microphone channel with a change in gain (column 4, lines 38-53). It would have been obvious to modify Helms to switchably engage the microphone in order to better control the ambience level (Ross, column 1, lines 9-11).

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helms (US 5,666,426) in view of Shimanuki (US 5,073,928).

Regarding claim 3, Helms discloses a communication device, including:

A controller for monitoring background audio levels (DSP 16, Figure 1; (column 3,lines 15-40; column 4, lines 43-58);

A manual volume control coupled to the controller, the manual volume control setting a volume level as a user preference for a current background audio level (22, Figure 1;column 2,lines 49-51);

A microphone coupled to the controller for monitoring background noise levels in response to changes in the manual volume control (microphone 12, Figure 1); and

The controller providing automatic adjustment of the volume level based on the user preference for the current background audio level in response to any change in the monitored background audio level (column 3,lines 15-40; column 4, lines 43-58).

Helms discloses that the microphone is coupled to the controller and monitoring and monitoring background noise levels in response to changes in the manual volume.

Helms fails to disclose that them microphone is switchably coupled.

Helms fails to disclose switchably coupling a microphone. Shimanuki discloses switchably coupling a microphone (Figure 6, column 8, lines 51-65). It would have been obvious to modify Helms so that the microphone is switchably coupled in order to alternatively received data from the microphone.

The method of claim 2 is implicit in the functionality of the communication device of claim 3. Claim 2 is rejected using Helms and Shimanuki as applied above to the rejection of claim 3.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,213

Art Unit: 2615

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,213

Art Unit: 2615

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DEF

VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVICE AY PATTAT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY COMPANY 2000